

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:

Travis J. Parry, *et al.*

Serial No.: 09/954,832

Filed: September 12, 2001

For: System and Method for Facilitating
Generation of Hard Copies

) Confirmation No: 8146

) Group Art Unit: 2141

) Examiner: Shingles, Kristie D

)
) Atty. Docket No.: 10013769-1

RESPONSE TO NOTIFICATION OF NON-COMPLIANT APPEAL BRIEF

Mailstop Appeal Brief - Patents
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In regard to the outstanding Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief of March 4, 2008, Applicants submit the following remarks. _____

It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required, beyond those which may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a), and any fees required therefor (including fees for net addition of claims) are hereby authorized to be charged to Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. Deposit Account No. 08-2025.

REMARKS


In the outstanding Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief of March 4, 2008, it is alleged that Applicants' Appeal Brief filed on December 11, 2007 is defective for not containing a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal. The explanation provided in the Notice for the defect states that a summarization of independent claim 8 is required. Therefore, a new summary of the claimed subject matter is set forth in an Amended Appeal Brief pursuant to MPEP §1205.03(B). Applicants respectfully assert that the revised summary complies with requirements of MPEP §1205.03(B) and 37 CFR 41.37.

The Notice for the defect also states that a summarization of dependent claims 4-7, 9-10, 12-17, 19-20, 22-23, and 25-32 is required, since the dependent claims are argued separately in the Arguments section. In response to the Notice, Applicants submit herewith the Amended Appeal Brief which groups dependent claims with their independent claim in the same argument.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully request that the Patent Office accept and consider the Amended Appeal Brief submitted herewith. If the Examiner has any questions or comments regarding this paper, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone Applicant's undersigned counsel.

Respectfully submitted,


Charles W. Griggers
Reg. No. 47,283